

**WAYZATA CITY COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES
June 20, 2017**

AGENDA ITEM 1. Call to Order.

Mayor Willcox called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

AGENDA ITEM 2. Pledge of Allegiance.

AGENDA ITEM 3. Roll Call.

Council Members present: Koch, McCarthy, Plechash and Tyacke. Also present: City Manager Dahl, Director of Planning and Building Thomson, and City Attorney Kaminski.

AGENDA ITEM 4. Approve Agenda.

Mrs. McCarthy made a motion, seconded by Mr. Tyacke, to approve the agenda. The motion carried 5/0.

AGENDA ITEM 5. Public Forum – 16 Minutes (3 minutes per person).

a. Mill Street Parking Ramp Update

City Manager Dahl reported the top deck of the parking ramp has been open since June 9. Remaining work to be done are the entry portals, shelving that will hold the wood finish on the south end, landscaping, railings, and other finishing touches. They hope to have the entire ramp open by July 4. They are \$250,000 under budget, and from this amount they will be considering an electronic kiosk to show people where to go in town, and a monument sign by the Muni off of Superior Street that would direct people the parking ramp.

Mrs. McCarthy inquired where the permanent fire extinguishers will be located in the ramp, when the center stairwell will be completed, and signage. Mr. Dahl explained stand pipes will take the place of the extinguishers and the center stairwell should be finished and opened when the entire ramp is opened. The connectivity signage was a condition with the Broadway Place development, and they will be communicating with them to get that signage in place.

Mr. Plechash inquired if the two charging stations would be completed before the ramp opening and if they will charge for use of them. Mr. Dahl stated they have all the equipment, and they are hopeful to have them installed. They still need to decide if and how much they will charge. He provided a couple examples of what other cities charge, but advised they will start out with something simple.

Mayor Willcox requested staff provide a picture of the signage that would be paid for out of the remaining \$250,000. Mr. Dahl stated he would provide this, and an example would be the shelter and signage at the Three Rivers Park trailhead.

Mayor Willcox introduced City Attorney Paul Kaminski, who is filling in for City Attorney Schelzel.

b. Tour de Tonka Bike Presentation

Tim Litfin, Tour de Tonka Director, gave a brief presentation and announced Tour de Tonka will take place on Saturday, August 5, 2017. He encouraged people to visit www.tourdetonka.org for more information.

c. Lake Effect Conservancy Update

Andrew Mullin, Lake Effect Conservancy member, reported the purpose of the Conservancy is to advocate and partner with the City to raise the funds to build the lake walk and park. He highlighted

the Board members, explained they are currently focused on fundraising, and showed a video that was underwritten by a benefactor of the project.

At the request of Mr. Tyacke, Mr. Mullin reported Civitas won a design award for the Lake Effect project design. The Conservancy has also formed an Advisory Committee with members that include Andrew Humphrey, Tom Vanderheyden, Richard Stockton, and a few others that will be named soon.

Mayor Willcox thanked the Conservancy for their excitement and commitment to this project.

d. Wayzata Art Experience

Becky Pierson, Wayzata Chamber President, announced Wayzata Art Experience will take place on June 24 and 25, 2017 on Lake Street beginning at 10:00 a.m. Wine on Wayzata Bay, it will take place on June 23 at the Yacht Club. There will be 100 artists at the Wayzata Art Experience, and the road will be closed between Broadway and Minnetonka. There will be music, kid's activities, a community art project and food.

Mrs. McCarthy inquired if they will be guiding traffic to the ramp to alleviate congestion and if signage will be on Wayzata Boulevard. City Manager Dahl reported they are making more signage this week for the Farmer's Market and can use it the signage to help direct traffic for this event as well. The Mill Street Ramp signage is in the process of being made, and they could put those signs on Wayzata Boulevard to direct people to the ramp. Ms. Pierson stated all the available parking options are on their website, and it includes the new Mill Street Ramp.

Mayor Willcox inquired about the weather forecast. Ms. Pierson stated there may be some showers on Saturday, but Sunday looks beautiful.

e. Walgreens – Cathy Carlson

Cathy Carlson, 226 Minnetonka Avenue North, stated when the Walgreens was built, it was agreed that the history of Wayzata was to be included on the storefront, but it has not been done yet. She expressed concern that it will be forgotten and advertising will replace it.

Mayor Willcox thanked her and City Manager Dahl advised they are aware of it.

AGENDA ITEM 6. New Agenda Items.

Mr. Tyacke stated since they approved the Wayzata Boulevard/Superior Boulevard intersection, he has gotten a series of emails with good suggestions for the Benton Avenue area. He suggested finding a way to involved the residents in that area as they move forward with this project. City Manager Dahl stated the next step is to get a proposal from the engineering company, and they can ask them to include a public engagement process in their proposal. The Council agreed.

Mayor Willcox stated he has heard from people they like the broken flashing yellow light at the intersection of Lake Street and Superior Boulevard because it keeps things flowing well. He suggested a workshop to determine if the flashing yellow light is better in that area. City Manager Dahl advised it can be discussed at a future workshop.

AGENDA ITEM 7. Consent Agenda.

Mr. Tyacke referred to page 7, line 1 of the Regular Meeting Minutes of June 6, and pointed out the word "packer" should be "packet."

Mr. Koch referred to page 4, line 5 of the Regular Meeting Minutes of June 6, and pointed out the word "Grand" should be "Grant."

Mr. Tyacke made a motion, seconded by Mr. Koch, to approve the consent agenda, with the suggested changes to the Regular Meeting Minutes.

- a. Approval of City Council Workshop Minutes of June 6, 2017, and City Council Regular Meeting Minutes of June 6, 2017
- b. Approval of Check Register

- 1 c. Approval of Municipal Licenses
- 2 d. Police Activity Report
- 3 e. Building Activity Report
- 4 f. Approval of Second Reading of Ordinance No. 773 Amending Chapter 309 of the City
- 5 Code Pertaining to Fishing From Docks
- 6 g. Approval of Resolution No. 22-2017 Approving Minnesota Laws, 2017 First Special
- 7 Session, Chapter 1, Article 6, Section 24 (Widsten TIF Amendment)
- 8 The motion carried 5/0.
- 9

10 **AGENDA ITEM 8. New Business.**

11 **a. Resolution No. 21-2017 Approving Project Design, CUPs, and Variances at 1300**

12 **Wayzata Boulevard East**

13 Director of Planning and Building Thomson reported the applicant, Nolan Properties, has submitted
 14 a development application for the property at 1330 Wayzata Boulevard East, which was formerly
 15 Kentucky Fried Chicken. The proposal includes demolition of the existing building, parking lot and
 16 other site improvements. The new development would consist of a 4,500-square foot commercial
 17 building and 47 on-site parking stalls. The applicant is requesting approval of the following items:

- 18 1) Design review with deviations: The exterior zinc and type of brick material requires a
- 19 deviation from the exterior material requirements.
- 20 2) Conditional Use Permits: The proposed use has not been identified and a CUP is required
- 21 for potential uses such as a restaurant, a convenience food restaurant, and a drive-in for a
- 22 bank or a restaurant.
- 23 3) Variances are requested for the minimum parking lot setback, minimum landscaping area,
- 24 and minimum parking requirements.

25 Mr. Thomson reported the Planning Commission recommends approval of the application,
 26 subject to conditions. They do not recommend restrictions on the hours of operation or on
 27 restaurant uses related to parking.

28 Mr. Tyacke inquired if all the conditional uses will remain permanent with the property
 29 after a tenant has been identified. Mr. Thomson responded the conditional use permits would
 30 remain valid on the property as long as the building is constructed within a year of approval and
 31 the conditions included in the resolution are met. Attorney Kaminski advised the approvals would
 32 run with the land and there could be different uses in the future with different tenants.

33 Mr. Tyacke expressed concern with having several conditional use permits tied to the
 34 property as it is different than how they have handled applications in the past. Mr. Thomson stated
 35 they can look at adding further restrictions, but if there is no change to the building that would
 36 change the conditions of approval, there would not be a problem of violating the City's ordinances.
 37 City Manager Dahl commented the intent is to give it more flexibility and if they go outside the
 38 approved restrictions, they would have to come back to the Council for approval.

39 Mr. Tyacke inquired when they would know what type of tenant would be in that building.
 40 Mr. Thomson responded they would be notified when they applied for a building permit. They
 41 would then review if the proposed use is allowed, and if it is a conditional use, they would make
 42 sure it complies with the uses approved by the Council.

43 Mr. Tyacke inquired about practical difficulty with the variance for the proposed 47
 44 parking stalls when 58 stalls may be required depending on what type of use goes into the space.
 45 Mr. Thomson referred to page 208 of the meeting packet, Section 3.5, item C, and highlighted the
 46 practical difficulties that the Planning Commission outlined. These include the narrow
 47 configuration of the lot, and the steep drop in elevation from front to back.

48 Mayor Willcox pointed out it is also based on the maximum use of the property. If they do
 49 not have a restaurant and a coffee shop, 47 parking stalls are allowed and a variance is not needed.
 50 They are requesting a variance based on maximizing the use of that area.

1 Mr. Koch stated the worst-case scenario would be two restaurants that would require 68
2 stalls. Mr. Thomson explained the only tenant mix that would not meet the parking requirement,
3 but would be allowed is a coffee shop and restaurant. Anything additional beyond that would
4 require the request for an additional variance and it would come back to the Council.

5 Mr. Plechash stated this variance gives them the flexibility of pulling in a restaurant and
6 coffee shop. Mr. Thomson commented he is not aware of any proposed tenants at this time.

7 Mr. Willcox inquired if the proposed zinc on the exterior is similar to what the Unitarian
8 Church is using. Mr. Thomson stated the church is using a metal siding product, but it is not zinc.

9 Mr. Willcox inquired if a residential component was considered for this property. Mr.
10 Thomson stated it was never part of the application.

11 Mr. Willcox inquired if there was an opportunity for proof of parking with the 47 parking
12 stalls that would give future flexibility. Mr. Thomson stated there is no room to provide additional
13 parking on the site. They did look at shared parking with McDonalds and the adjacent office
14 building, but they are both already at their minimum with no stalls to spare.

15 Mr. Willcox commented he is skeptical of approving variances when a developer is
16 working with a blank slate. They should be able to design it in a way that they are not needed.

17 Mrs. McCarthy commented they are designing a building with the variances and CUPs to
18 entice a tenant to come in. She inquired if the proposed CUP for a drive-through could be used for
19 a bank, coffee shop, or convenience food restaurant. Mr. Thomson explained within the C-3
20 district, a bank is allowed without a drive-through window. However, if a bank requested a drive-
21 through window, that would require a CUP. The CUP for convenience food restaurants includes
22 the drive-through window.

23 Mr. Koch pointed out there is currently a zero-foot setback on the property, and the
24 proposed 5-foot setback would be an improvement. Mr. Thomson explained the current access on
25 the east side of the property is from the McDonalds property.

26 Mr. Koch inquired how long the queuing space is for the drive-through. The City code
27 requires 180 feet and other coffee shops studied had spill over in the morning rush. Mr. Thomson
28 pointed out on a rendering that the pictured car is 180 feet from the drive-through window and
29 positioned in front of parking stalls that are for employees only. If additional queuing is needed, it
30 would spill out into a drive aisle and not onto a public street.

31 Mr. Willcox inquired why they cannot landscape an additional 3.5 percent. Mr. Thomson
32 responded the proposed side walk takes away some green space.

33 Katelyn Murray, Nolan Properties Group, 328 Barry Avenue South, reported they have
34 come up with a great design for the site that offers significant improvements. The clean design
35 brings life to the street.

36 Jack Amdal, Studio 55 Architects, 10700 Highway 55, Plymouth, reported Plymouth City
37 Hall has zinc on the walls that patinas over time to a nice gray. The brick comes from Denmark
38 and is a brick shingle attached to a wood lattice system. It is meant to let water and air get through
39 so that moisture is not trapped in the building. It will be a dark, charcoal gray, and the zinc panel
40 will be a lighter gray. They will use a curtain wall system with wood accents for the sunshades
41 over the entries.

42 Mrs. McCarthy inquired how they will deal with the changes in elevation on the site and
43 which property is located to the south. Mr. Thomson responded there is a house to the south, which
44 is very far back on the lot. Ms. Murray commented they will be bringing in fill to bring the back
45 of the site up. There will still be a slope, but nothing significant. They will be fixing their site so
46 that the McDonalds property water captures on its site. There will also be a retaining wall on the
47 south side and along the drive-through lane.

48 Ms. Murray agreed with Mayor Willcox that there will be an intensification of traffic on
49 this site, but stated the traffic coming from the west will not cause any significant impacts to the
50 site.

1 Ms. Murray stated they are bringing the building as far forward as possible and they are
2 providing a pedestrian and bike friendly patio out front. They have included improved vehicle
3 circulation, increased land buffers, and significant stormwater improvements around the site. They
4 have tried to find the optimal balance the amount of building, landscape and parking on the site,
5 as well as incorporate the City's Comprehensive Plan. They feel they have enough parking to
6 support the proposed building and the parking study proves that the coffee use and restaurant use
7 has enough stalls. The peak demand for a coffee use is 23 stalls and for a restaurant/convenience
8 use it is 23 stalls. This requires 46 stalls and they have 47 on the site. This site has 11 stalls per
9 1,000 square feet and the Punch/Chipotle site has 6 stalls per 1,000 square feet.

10 Mr. Plechash inquired where she received the information for the parking study. Ms.
11 Murray responded they received it from ITE, their third-party traffic consultant, that used industry
12 standard information for different uses in parking demands. The study was submitted with their
13 development application. Mr. Plechash commented the City's Ordinance may be too strict
14 regarding parking standards.

15 Ms. Murray reported they are providing landscape buffers on all four sides of the site,
16 which do not currently exist. They want to provide two-way access throughout the site and due to
17 the narrowness of the site, a variance is required for the side setbacks.

18 Mr. Koch inquired about light pollution and the parking stalls at the back of the site. Ms.
19 Murray stated the stalls at the back of the site are used by the dentist office, and they will have a
20 fence on the top of the retaining wall to block light pollution from headlights.

21 Mr. Dahl pointed out the sidewalk to the north does not look like it is connecting to the
22 sidewalk to the east. Ms. Murray stated they are happy to work with staff to make that connection.

23 Mr. Tyacke inquired why there is not a tenant yet for the development. Ms. Murray
24 responded they design their buildings for a universal option and they want it designed to
25 accommodate flexible uses. At any time, a tenant can change out, they take great pride in having
26 long-term tenants, and they do not build projects to flip them. They had done two other similar
27 projects in Minneapolis and they were able to successfully fill the buildings.

28 Mr. Thomson explained when a tenant comes in they will apply for a building permit from
29 the City. The parking Ordinance states that whenever there is a change in use that requires
30 additional parking, they have to meet the minimum parking requirement in order to occupy the
31 space. If two restaurants applied to be in the space and did not meet the parking requirements, they
32 would have to apply for a parking variance, which requires Council approval.

33 The Council expressed general support for the project. They agreed the parking
34 requirement concerns them, but the need for a variance if increased parking is needed helps to
35 limit what goes into the space. The setback is improving, and the variances requested are an
36 improvement to what currently exists. The design is innovative and would be a tremendous
37 improvement.

38 Mrs. McCarthy expressed concern with the small size of the site and potentially having
39 more than one tenant. She does not support a sit-down restaurant on that site and is not in favor of
40 granting all the CUPs.

41 Mr. Willcox agreed with Mrs. McCarthy and expressed concern with the traffic in that area.
42 He is uncomfortable with granting variances and CUPs without having a tenant. However, he
43 believes they have enough control with the CUPs to designate what goes in there, and supports
44 the project.

45 Mrs. McCarthy inquired if the numbers change if one tenant wanted the whole property for
46 a restaurant. Mr. Thomson advised it could be all retail, all coffee shop, or all bank, but not one
47 tenant that is a restaurant.

48 Mr. Willcox stated the resolution does not state there cannot be one tenant with a restaurant.
49 Mr. Thomson commented if it would help, they could add a condition that states any occupancy
50 of the building must meet the City's minimum parking requirement, except for the variance for
51 the coffee shop and restaurant combination as approved as part of the resolution.

1 City Attorney Kaminski agreed that Mr. Thomson's suggestion is reasonable.

2 Mr. Tyacke stated there has to be a control that the variance amount is dependent on the
3 square footage of the restaurant portion of the building.

4 Mr. Thomson clarified the condition of approval would state, "any use, tenancy, or
5 occupancy of the parcel of the building must meet the minimum requirements for such uses, except
6 for the coffee shop and convenience food restaurant uses approved as part of the variance."

7 Mr. Tyacke made a motion to approve Resolution No. 21-2017 Approving Project Design,
8 Conditional Use Permits, and Variances for a new commercial development at 1330 Wayzata
9 Boulevard East, with the added condition, "any use, tenancy, or occupancy of the parcel of the
10 building must meet the minimum requirements for such uses, except for the coffee shop and
11 convenience food restaurant uses approved as part of the variance."

12 Mr. Plechash stated he would prefer each piece of the application be voted on separately.

13 The motion failed for lack of a second.

14 Mr. Tyacke made a motion, seconded by Mr. Plechash to approve the Project Design and
15 Deviations. The motion carried 5/0.

16 Mr. Tyacke made a motion, seconded by Mr. Koch to approve the Drive-In CUP. The
17 motion carried 5/0.

18 Mr. Koch made a motion, seconded by Mr. Tyacke to approve the Restaurant CUP. The
19 motion carried 4/1. (McCarthy)

20 Mr. Plechash made a motion, seconded by Mr. Tyacke to approve the Convenience Food
21 CUP. The motion carried 5/0.

22 Mr. Koch made a motion, seconded by Mr. Plechash to approve the Parking Lot Setback
23 Variance. The motion carried 5/0.

24 Mrs. McCarthy made a motion, seconded by Mr. Plechash to approve the Landscape
25 Variance. The motion carried 5/0.

26 Mr. Koch made a motion, seconded by Mr. Tyacke to approve the Parking Stall Variance.
27 The motion carried 3/2. (McCarthy and Plechash)

28 Mr. Tyacke made a motion, seconded by Koch to approve Resolution No. 21-2017
29 Approving Project Design, Conditional Use Permits, and Variances for a new commercial
30 development at 1330 Wayzata Boulevard East, with the added condition, "any use, tenancy, or
31 occupancy of the parcel of the building must meet the minimum requirements for such uses, except
32 for the 2,200 square foot coffee shop and 2,300 square foot convenience food restaurant uses
33 approved as part of the variance."

34 Mr. Plechash expressed concern with the parking. No tenants have yet been identified and
35 the tenant combination that is allowed causes a problem with parking. The property owner has
36 plenty of opportunity to come up with other tenants.

37 John Nolan, Nolan Properties Group, stated it is not unusual they do not have a tenant
38 before requesting approvals. The zoning relief they are seeking is focused solely around a coffee
39 user and a convenience food user. He understands the parking requirements, but it does add
40 confusion. They have the ITE study that shows the use has sufficient parking. The parking lot for
41 Chipotle is under parked, but that site also has two peak users at the same time and this proposed
42 site has more stalls per square feet. They spent a lot of time eliminating conflicts in the parking lot
43 and created circulation, which is critical toward a potential coffee use.

44 Mr. Plechash stated if the site does become a restaurant and coffee use, parking may
45 become an issue.

46 Ms. Murray pointed out the traffic study shows that the two uses combined will require 46
47 stalls, and this site has 47.

48 Ms. McCarthy stated adding a restaurant to that small space, regardless of the
49 improvements to circulation, still makes it difficult. It is bad now, and Kentucky Fried Chicken is
50 closed. Anything that goes in there is going to add to that congestion and circulation, but limiting
51 the use will help mitigate it.

1 Mr. Plechash commented if they vote on this as a whole resolution, he will reluctantly vote
2 in favor of it with concern regarding parking for a dual coffee and restaurant use. This concern is
3 based on what the City's requirements are on parking, and it may be the requirements are not right.

4 Upon roll call vote, with Councilmembers Koch, Tyacke, Plechash and Mayor Willcox
5 voting yes, and Councilmember McCarthy voting no, the motion carried 4/1.

6
7 **b. Consider First Reading of Ordinance No. 772 Amending Chapter 710 and Section**
8 **801.36 of the City Code Pertaining to Tree Regulations**

9 Director of Planning and Building Thomson reported the intent for this amendment was to clean
10 up items, and include the addition of a look-back clause and tree removal permit. The look-back
11 clause is included in the Ordinance in two Sections:

- 12 • In Section 801.36.3.A, it reads, "3. *Applicable Time Period.* For purposes of this
13 subsection, Tree Removal, subject to the replacement requirements of this Section,
14 includes any Significant or Heritage Tree removed ***within the two years prior to submitting***
15 ***an application to the City*** for subdivision approval; public infrastructure construction or
16 maintenance; or construction of a new single-family home, or when any of the foregoing
17 is required, whichever is earlier."
- 18 • In Section 801.36.3.B, it reads, "3. *Applicable Time Period.* For purposes of this
19 subsection, Tree Removal, subject to the replacement requirements of this Section,
20 includes any Significant or Heritage Tree removed ***within the one year of submitting an***
21 ***application to the City*** for a land disturbance permit; Design Standards review and
22 approval; or expansion to a single-family home, or when any of the foregoing is required,
23 whichever is earlier."

24 Mr. Thomson reported a tree removal permit is now required for removal of any significant
25 or heritage tree on public or private property in the City. In Section 710.08, they added submittal
26 information, the process for review, and the appeal process for a tree removal permit. The fee for
27 the permit will be established at the direction of the Council.

28 Mr. Plechash commented he has an issue with the use of the word permit since the
29 homeowners are not asking for permission for something. He suggested the term be notification,
30 showing that the homeowner is notifying the City of trees they plan to remove. He also does not
31 think homeowners should have to pay a fee to notify the City of trees they plan to remove.

32 In response to Mr. Tyacke, Mr. Thomson stated the only reason a City may not grant a
33 permit is if it was for an unlicensed contractor.

34 Mr. Willcox inquired why a homeowner would be taking trees down for public
35 infrastructure construction or maintenance. Mr. Thomson stated there are very limited situations
36 where private parties are constructing public infrastructure. It could be a developer or a homeowner
37 that is tying into the public system.

38 Mr. Willcox inquired if this is a problem that needs to be fixed, and he has heard of only
39 one instance. Mrs. McCarthy brought up the Barry Avenue situation that happened a few years ago.

40 Mr. Tyacke stated it is brought to them by the Planning Commission and they may see a
41 lot of situations where this may be an issue.

42 Mr. Willcox advised the Planning Commission was deadlocked on the vote for this item.

43 Mr. Koch stated he agrees with this amendment because the City requires you to use a
44 licensed tree removal company and this is a good way to enforce it. However, people may not know
45 that a permit is now required and they still may not be effective in tracking who is cutting down
46 the trees. He is in favor of the clean-up language, but does not have a strong opinion on the look
47 back clause and permit requirement.

48 Mr. Plechash commented it is the responsibility of the City Council to look forward to
49 situations that could become a problem and there is no harm in adopting this. People may not know
50 they need to apply for a notification, but ignorance of the law is no excuse. This is an opportunity
51 to fix a loophole

Mr. Willcox inquired what staff's position is on this amendment. Mr. Thomson stated it has not been a widespread problem. However, if it were to be problem, it could be a very big problem without the look-back clause. The way the Ordinance is written today, if someone cut down every tree on their property, there would be no violation of the City Code. Prior to 2016, the City Code required a permit and there was a restriction on the number of trees that could be removed per year.

Mr. Tyacke supports moving forward with the amendments to the Ordinance.

Mrs. McCarthy stated since there is no mechanism to enforce tree removal, this is necessary.

Mr. Willcox agreed they need to have something in place to prevent someone from clearcutting their property.

Mr. Thomson stated he spoke with Kurt Klapprich and Dave Dudinsky at Public Works and they are not concerned about the workload associated with this and they see value in the permit.

Mr. Plechash made a motion, seconded by McCarthy, to approve the first reading of Ordinance No. 772 Amending Section 36 of the Wayzata Zoning Ordinance (Tree Preservation) and Amending Chapter 710 (Planting, Maintenance, and Removal of Trees).

Mr. Plechash stated he still prefers the word "notification" instead of "permit." However, it does function like a permit and will support that language.

City Manager Dahl requested direction from the City Council regarding a permit fee.

Mr. Plechash stated he supports a permit fee.

Mr. Koch stated a homeowner who is going to cut down a tree on their own still needs to apply for a permit and follow the conditions outlined in the Ordinance, and this justifies a fee.

Mayor Willcox directed staff to include a \$35.00 fee.

The motion carried 5/0.

AGENDA ITEM 9. City Manager's Report and Discussion Items.

a. Farmer's Market

City Manager Dahl reported the first Farmers Market at the Municipal Lot was successful. The vendors were happy with the traffic, and as more people become aware of it, even more people will attend it.

b. News and Upcoming Dates

- HRA will have a meeting on Thursday, June 22 to move along the process with the Widsten TIF amendment.
- The Concert in the Park on Sunday was well attended.
- The Police Department/Fire Department Game held their annual game and the Police Department won. He thanked Anchor Bank for sponsoring that event.

AGENDA ITEM 10. Public Forum Continued (as necessary).

There were no comments.

AGENDA ITEM 11. Adjournment.

Mr. Plechash made a motion, seconded by Mr. Tyacke to adjourn. There being no further business, Mr. Willcox adjourned the meeting at 9:34 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Becky Malone 07-05-2017

Becky Malone

Deputy City Clerk

Drafted by Shannon Schmidt, *TimeSaver Off Site Secretarial, Inc.*